

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,924	10/15/2001	Antonio Nicolini	163-351	4053	
47888 7	590 07/13/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	· PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/977,924	NICOLINI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the applic	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar election requirement	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed,				
·						
Attachment(s)	· _					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

-A Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to include method steps into an apparatus claim, which are given little patentable weight in defining the structure of the apparatus. It is not clear which statutory class the current claims are in. For the purposes of prosecution, the examiner has stayed with the originally presented apparatus. The examiner highly recommends using means plus function language to get around this confusion, but make sure that the means plus function is clear from the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,924

Art Unit: 3627

Miller et al. shows an identification system card, which uses fingerprints as a form of univocal identification (via micro processor). Column 2, lines 71-75 shows that the intent of using the identification system with automatic vending. Figures 1 and 2 show the processing the geometric forms of the fingerprint.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. in view of Rivalto.

Miller et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying that the identification is linked to a customer profile with discounts (price) and past purchase data (prior depositing of currency or amounts paid).

Rivalto teaches, figure 1, an automated drive-up vending facility. The identification system is linked to a customer profile with discounts (price) and past purchase data (prior depositing of currency or amounts paid) in order to provide better customer service and provide marketing opportunities.

Based on the teaching of Rivalto, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the automatic vending

systems of Miller et al. to incorporate the identification links of Rivalto in order to provide better customer service and provide marketing opportunities.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments concentrate on how the inventions perform different functions, which is not relevant to an apparatus claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,924

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff 7/8/0

July 8, 2005